### DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

# EXTENDED STAND COMPUTER SYSTEM WITH RETRACTABLE KEYBOARD

the specification of which is attached hereto.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims. I do not know and do not believe that the claimed invention was ever known or used in the United States of America before my invention thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my invention thereof or more than one year prior to this application, that the same was not in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to this application, and that the invention has not been patented or made the subject of an inventor's certificate issued before the date of this application in any country foreign to the United States of America on an application filed by me or my legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months (for a utility patent application) or six months (for a design patent application) prior to this application.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56, attached hereto as Appendix B.

I hereby appoint the persons listed on Appendix A attached hereto (which is incorporated by reference and a part of this document) as my respective patent attorneys and patent agents, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

Send correspondence to:

David Tran INTEL CORPORATION SC4-202 2200 Mission College Blvd. Santa Clara, CA 95052 (408) 765-4692

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Name of first/sole inventor: Prosenjit Ghosh		
Inventor's Signature Residence: Post Office Address:	Date Citizenship:	
Name of second inventor: Shreekant Suryakant Thakkar		
Inventor's Signature Residence: Post Office Address:	Date Citizenship:	
Name of third inventor: Nicholas Oakley		
Inventor's Signature Residence: Post Office Address:	Date Citizenship:	

Name of fourth invent r	: Truong Phan		
Inventor's Signature Residence: Post Office Address:		Date Citizenship:	

#### **APPENDIX A**

#### **PATENT ATTORNEYS AND AGENTS**

Alan K. Aldous, Reg. No. 31,905; Shireen I. Bacon, Reg. No. 40,494: R. Edward Brake, Reg. No. 37,784; Ben Burge, Reg. No. 42,372; Robert Chang, Reg. No. 48, 765; George Chen, Reg. No. 50,807; Glen B. Choi, Reg. No. 43,546; Kenneth Cool, Reg. No. 40,570; Jeffrey S. Draeger, Reg. No. 41,000; Cynthia Thomas Faatz, Reg. No. 39,973; Christopher Gagne, Reg. No. 36,142; Sharmini N. Green, Reg. No. 41,410; Robert Greenberg, Reg. No. 44,133; Bradley Greenwald, Reg. No. 34,341; Libby Hope, Reg. No. 46,774; Jeffrey B. Huter, Reg. No. 41,086; Seth Z. Kalson, Reg. No. 40,670; Peter Lam, Reg. No. 44,855; Issac Lin, Reg. No. 50,672; David C. Lundmark, Reg. No. 42,815; Anthony Martinez, Reg. No. 44,223; Larry Mennemeier, Reg. No. 51,003; Paul Nagy, Reg. No. 37,896; Dennis A. Nicholls, Reg. No. 42,036; Lanny Parker, Reg. No. 44,281; Michael D. Plimier, Reg. No. 43,004; Michael Proksch, Reg. No. 43,021; Kevin A. Reif, Reg. No. 36,381; Crystal D. Sayles, Reg. No. 44,318; Kenneth M. Seddon, Reg. No. 43,105; Mark Seeley, Reg. No. 32,299; Ami P. Shah, Reg. No. 42,143; David Simon, Reg. No. 32,756; Steven P. Skabrat, Reg. No. 36,279; Howard A. Skaist, Reg. No. 36,008; Paul E. Steiner, Reg. No. 41,326; Joni D. Stutman-Horn, Reg. No. 42,173; David Tran, Reg. No. 50,804; Robert G. Winkle, Reg. No. 37,474; Sharon Wong, Reg. No. 37,760; Steven D. Yates, Reg. No. 42,242; Calvin E. Wells; Reg. No. 43,256; Michael Willardson, Reg. No. 50,856 and Charles K. Young, Reg. No. 39,435 reachable at Intel Corporation, 2200 Mission College Blvd., Santa Clara, CA, 95052, telephone (408) 765-8080

at Intel Corporation, 2200 Mission College Blvd., Santa Clara, CA, 95052, telephone (408) 765-8080

Ramin Aghevli, Reg. No. 43,462; William E. Alford, Reg. No. 37,764; Farzad E. Amini, Reg. No. 42,261; W. Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Jordan M. Becker, Reg. No. 39,602; Michael A. Bernadicou, Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, Jr., Reg. No. 25,831; R. Alan Burnett, Reg. No. 46,149; Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926; Robert Chang, Reg. No. 48, 765; Cory G. Claassen, Reg. No. 50,296; Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. 39,637; Mimi D. Dao, Reg. No. 45,628; Stephen M. De Klerk, Reg. No. 46,503; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Sanjeet Dutta, Reg. No. 46,145; Tarek N. Fahmi, Reg. No. 41,402; Thomas S. Ferrill, Reg. No. 42,532; George L. Fountain, Reg. No. 36,374; Adam Furst, Reg. No. 51,710; Angelo J. Gaz, Reg. No. 45,907; Andre M. Gibbs, Reg. No. 47,593; Steven R. Gilliam, Reg. No. 51,734; James Y. Go, Reg. No. 40,621; Jeffery S. Heileson, Reg. No. 46,765; James A. Henry, Reg. No. 41,064; William E. Hickman, Reg. No. 46,771; Willmore F. Holbrow III, Reg. No. 41,485; Sheryl Sue Holloway, Reg. No. 37,850; George W. Hovover II, Reg. No. 32,902; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 31,39; Aslam A. Jaffery, Reg. No. 51,841; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Walter T. Kim, Reg. No. 42,731; Eric T. King, Reg. No. 44,188; Steven Laut, Reg. No. 47,736; Suk S. Lee, Reg. No. 47,745; Gordon R. Lindeen III, Reg. No. 33,192; Jan C. Little, Reg. No. 41,181; Joseph Lutz, Reg. No. 43,765; Lawrence E. Lycke, Reg. No. 35,40; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; Andre L. Marais, Reg. No. 48,095; Raul D. Martinez, Reg. No. 46,904; Paul A. Mendonsa, Reg. No. 42,879; Jonathan S. Miller, Reg. No. 48,534; Heather M. Molleur, Reg. No. 50,432; Richard A. Nakashima, Reg. No. 42,023; Thinh V. Nguyen, Reg. No. 36,689; William W. Schaal, Reg. No. 46,972; Daniel E. Ovanezian, Reg. No. 41,236; Philip A. Pedigo, Reg. No. 52,107; Michael D. Plimler, Reg. No. 43,004; Marina G. Portnova, Reg. No. 42,173; Stanley W. Sokoloff, Reg. No. 42,003; Thinh V. Nguyen, Reg. N

Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994; Fred G. Pruner, Jr.; Reg. No. 40,779, Dan C. Hu, Reg. No. 40,025; and Howard R. Boyle, Reg. No. 29,617; reachable at **Trop, Pruner & Hu, P.C., 8554 Katy Freeway, Suite 100, Houston, TX 77024-1841, telephone (713) 468-8880** 

John C. Altmiller, Reg. No. 25,951 and Shawn W. O'Dowd, Reg. No. 34,687; reachable at Kenyon & Kenyon, 1500 "K" Street NW, Suite 700, Washington, DC, 20005-1257, telephone (202) 220-4200, and at 333 W. San Carlos Street, Suite 600, San Jose, CA, 95110-2711, telephone (408) 975-7500

#### APPENDIX B

## Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
  - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
  - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
  - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.